



Faculty of Management & Finance, University of Colombo
Manuscript Review Form (Literature Reviews)

Title of the Manuscript:

Name of the Reviewer: _____

Please comment on the following aspects of the manuscript. Use as much space you need in typing the comments under each section. Please be kind enough to justify/elaborate your major comments and to provide clear suggestions for revisions.

Please note that all your comments and suggestions except those provided under sections 12 and 13 will be sent to the authors. Therefore, ensure that your identity is not revealed in any of the comments or suggestions.

A copy of the reviewer ethics of the CBJ is provided at the end of the review form.

1. Introduction

[Clearly identified, interesting and timely research issue; clearly indicated aim; impressive introduction]

Comments:

Suggestions:

2. Methods

[Explanation of review method (clear explanation of the steps followed)]

Comments:

Suggestions:

3. Analysis, Findings and Discussion

[Findings derived from the review such as areas focused in the extant literature, research methods deployed, theories used and research context explored etc., and status of the current literature and gaps]

Comments:

Suggestions:

4. Contribution

[Novel and value-added contribution to existing research, discussion of implications for scholarly and practicing community, stimulating thought or debate]

Comments:

Suggestions:

5. Title of the Manuscript

[Appropriateness for the study; if not appropriate, your suggestion for revision]

Comments:

Suggestions:

6. Abstract of the Manuscript

[Accurate reflection of the content, sufficient coverage of the study]

Comments:

Suggestions:

7. Keywords

[Accurate and sufficient reflection of the content]

Comments:

Suggestions:

8. Use of Language and Scholarly Presentation

[Clear communication, maintaining proper standard of writing, whether language editing is required]

Comments:

Suggestions:

9. Further Comments and Suggestions (if any):

Comments:

Suggestions:

10. Comments for Editors Eyes Only:

11. Please mark your overall evaluation by underlining the selected response:

Based on the overall evaluation of the manuscript, it is

- (1) Acceptable as it is**
- (2) Acceptable with minor revisions as suggested**
- (3) Acceptable subject to major revision as suggested**
- (4) Rejected**

Reviewer Ethics

- Reviewers should check whether the work is original and unpublished
- Reviewers should not make any attempt at discovering the identities of the authors of the work being reviewed
- Reviewers should maintain confidentiality of information contained in the work.
- Reviewers must be transparent and fair

- Reviewers should not show any biasness irrespective of the subject matter of the work, research philosophy adopted or methodology followed, in the spirit of the multi-disciplinary nature of the CBJ.

Reviewers should disclose any personal relationships that could lead to potential conflict of interest in the review process.